

In reference to the resolution of the department conference

The latest version on 16<sup>th</sup> May 2010

## **Thesis Evaluation Schemes of Master's Degree Candidate**

Department of Environmental Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn University

1. Evaluation of committee
  - a. Committee evaluates overall contents of the requested version of thesis report, not improved/adjusted/edited version after the applied date. Any of further report improvement can be done after the thesis defence date. Other aspects are evaluated from the presentation, and Q&A on the thesis defence date.
  - b. Committee considers candidate's publication(s) in Appendices. (No consideration if there are no any attachments)
  - c. Committee evaluates thesis by following the evaluation form (page 2). The filled evaluation form will be kept at the department and the comments (page 3) will be forwarded to the candidate for improvement/references.
  
2. Final result
  - a. The final result has to be considered from each committee evaluation result (Excellent, Good, Approved/Passed, Disapproved/Rejected), not raw marks.
  - b. Advisor and co-advisor are considered as only one person.
  - c. The thesis is disapproved if there is one rejection from committee members.
  - d. If there is no disapproval from any of the committees, the final result is scaled (Excellent, Good, Approved/Passed) from the mean of the summation of each committee's result.

**Thesis Evaluation Form of Master's Degree Candidate**

Department of Environmental Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn University

(be kept by the department)

Name of Candidate ..... Student ID .....

Name of Examiner..... Thesis defense date .....

Evaluation criteria	Percentage	Weight	scales					Mark	Remark
			4	3	2	1	0		
<b>1. Overall contents (50%)</b>									
Work and time management	5%	1.25							
Systematic Thinking	5%	1.25							
Research procedures	10%	2.5							
Data reliability	5%	1.25							
Data analysis	10%	2.5							
Discussion and recommendation	10%	2.5							
Usefulness for Engineering field and Society	5%	1.25							
<b>2. Report (15%)</b>									
Logical flow	5%	1.25							
Report ( Content, Neatness, Format)	10%	2.5							
<b>3. Presentation (10%)</b>									
Logical flow	5%	1.25							
Presentation performance	5%	1.25							
<b>4. Question and Answer (25%)</b>									
Thesis understanding	15%	3.75							
Engagement of theory and fundamental knowledges	10%	2.5							
<b>Total mark</b>									

**Remark:** If you do not prefer mark as a to follow the provided mark scales (4 3, 2, 1, 0), please fill the mark as a number less than maximum percentage of each criteria (box no.2) in each box along the mark column

- Final result**
- Excellent (100-85%)
  - Good (84-70%)
  - Approved/Passed (69-60%)
  - Disapproved/Rejected (<60%)

.....

(.....)

Examiner/Committee

